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## 1 Isogeometric model problem

For the sake of simplicity, we restrict ourselves to the following model problem. Let $\Omega=(0,1)^{d}$ and assume $f \in L^{2}(\Omega)$ to be a given function. Find a function $u: \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$
-\Delta u=f \text { in } \Omega, \quad \frac{\partial u}{\partial n}=0 \text { on } \partial \Omega
$$

In variational form, this problem reads: find $u \in H^{1}(\Omega)$ such that

$$
a(u, v):=(\nabla u, \nabla v)_{L^{2}(\Omega)}=(f, v)_{L^{2}(\Omega)} \quad \forall v \in H^{1}(\Omega)
$$

We obtain an isogeometric discretization of this problem by choosing a sequence of spline spaces $\mathcal{V}_{\ell} \subset H^{1}(\Omega), \ell=0,1, \ldots$, and introducing the Galerkin discretization: find $u_{\ell} \in \mathcal{V}_{\ell}$ such that

$$
a\left(u_{\ell}, v_{\ell}\right)=\left\langle f, v_{\ell}\right\rangle \quad \forall v_{\ell} \in \mathcal{V}_{\ell} .
$$

In the 1 D setting, $\mathcal{V}_{\ell}$ is chosen as a spline space of some fixed degree $p$ over a uniform, open knot vector consisting of $n_{\ell}=n_{0} 2^{\ell}$ subintervals of length $h_{\ell}:=\frac{1}{n_{\ell}}=\frac{1}{n_{0}} 2^{-\ell}$ by uniform dyadic refinement. "Open" here refers to the fact that the first and last knots are repeated $p+1$ times. All interior knots are simple and thus the spline space has the maximum continuity, $\mathcal{V}_{\ell} \subset C^{p-1}(0,1)$. Furthermore, the spaces are nested, i.e., $\mathcal{V}_{\ell} \subset \mathcal{V}_{\ell+1}$. If we want to make explicit mention of the spline degree, we write $S_{p, \ell}=\mathcal{V}_{\ell}$ for this uniformly refined spline space.

In higher dimensions, the space $\mathcal{V}_{\ell}$ is taken as the tensor product of 1 D spline spaces as just described. Whenever a basis for these spline spaces is needed, we use the canonical basis of normalized B-splines or tensor products thereof. For more details on splines, see, e.g., 1].

## 2 Description of the multigrid algorithm

Denoting the stiffness matrix on level $\ell$ by $K_{\ell}$, the multigrid algorithm for solving the discretized equation on grid level $\ell$ reads as follows. Starting from an initial approximation $\underline{u}_{\ell}^{(0)}$, one iteration of the multigrid method to obtain the next iterate $\underline{u}_{\ell}^{(1)}$ is given by the following two steps:

- Smoothing procedure: For some fixed number $\nu$ of smoothing steps, compute

$$
\begin{equation*}
\underline{u}_{\ell}^{(0, m)}:=\underline{u}_{\ell}^{(0, m-1)}+\tau L_{\ell}^{-1}\left(\underline{f}_{\ell}-K_{\ell} \underline{u}_{\ell}^{(0, m-1)}\right) \quad \text { for } m=1, \ldots, \nu \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\underline{u}_{\ell}^{(0,0)}:=\underline{u}_{\ell}^{(0)}$. The choice of the smoothing matrix $L_{\ell}^{-1}$ and the damping parameter $\tau>0$ will be discussed below.

- Coarse-grid correction:
- Compute the defect and restrict it to grid level $\ell-1$ using a restriction matrix $I_{\ell}^{\ell-1}$ :

$$
\underline{r}_{\ell-1}^{(1)}:=I_{\ell}^{\ell-1}\left(\underline{f}_{\ell}-K_{\ell} \underline{u}_{\ell}^{(0, \nu)}\right) .
$$

- Compute the update $\underline{p}_{\ell-1}^{(1)}$ by solving the coarse-grid problem

$$
\begin{equation*}
K_{\ell-1} \underline{p}_{\ell-1}^{(1)}=\underline{r}_{\ell-1}^{(1)} . \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

- Prolongate $\underline{p}_{\ell-1}^{(1)}$ to the grid level $\ell$ using a prolongation matrix $I_{\ell-1}^{\ell}$ and add the result to the previous iterate:

$$
\underline{u}_{\ell}^{(1)}:=\underline{u}_{\ell}^{(0, \nu)}+I_{\ell-1}^{\ell} \underline{p}_{\ell-1}^{(1)} .
$$

We denote by $T_{\ell}=I-I_{\ell-1}^{\ell} K_{\ell-1}^{-1} I_{\ell}^{\ell-1} K_{\ell}$ the action of the coarse-grid correction.

## 3 A robust smoother for IGA

Lemma 1. If the approximation property

$$
\left\|T_{\ell} \underline{v}\right\|_{L_{\ell}} \leq c\|\underline{v}\|_{K_{\ell}} \quad \forall \underline{v} \in \mathbb{R}^{m_{\ell}}
$$

and the smoothing property

$$
K_{\ell} \leq c L_{\ell}
$$

are satisfied with uniform constants $c$ which do not depend on $\ell$ or $p$, then the two-grid algorithm converges with a rate which is robust in $\ell$ and $p$.

The construction of our smoother depends on first showing that the mass matrix is a robust smoother in a large subspace of the spline space, and then extending this smoother to the whole space by a low-rank correction.

In [2] it was shown that a robust inverse estimate holds for the following large subspace of $S_{p, \ell}$.
Definition 1. We denote by $\widetilde{S}_{p, \ell}$ the space of all $u_{\ell} \in S_{p, \ell}$ whose odd derivatives of order less than $p$ vanish at the boundary.

Theorem 1 ([2]). Let $\ell \in \mathbb{N}_{0}$ and $p \in \mathbb{N}$. Then

$$
\left|u_{\ell}\right|_{H^{1}(0,1)} \leq 2 \sqrt{3} h_{\ell}^{-1}\left\|u_{\ell}\right\|_{L^{2}(0,1)} \quad \forall u_{\ell} \in \widetilde{S}_{p, \ell}
$$

Furthermore an approximation property holds in $\widetilde{S}_{p, \ell}$. Below, $\widetilde{\Pi}_{\ell}$ denotes a suitably chosen orthogonal projector into $\widetilde{S}_{p, \ell}$.

Theorem 2. Let $\ell \in \mathbb{N}_{0}$ and $p \in \mathbb{N}$. Then

$$
\left\|u-\widetilde{\Pi}_{\ell} u\right\|_{L^{2}(0,1)} \leq 2 \sqrt{2} h_{\ell}|u|_{H^{1}(0,1)} \quad \forall u \in H^{1}(0,1)
$$

The above results allow us to prove the assumptions of Lemma 1 for the smoother $L_{\ell}:=h_{\ell}^{-2} M_{\ell}$ in the subspace $\widetilde{S}_{p, \ell}$. The following abstract result allows us the extension to the entire space $S_{p, \ell}$. We drop subscripts here to emphasize the abstract nature of the result.

Lemma 2. Assume that the smoother $L$ satisfies the properties

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|T v\|_{L} \leq c\|v\|_{K} & \forall v \in S, \\
\|\tilde{v}\|_{K} \leq c\|\tilde{v}\|_{L} & \forall \tilde{v} \in \widetilde{S}, \\
\|(I-\widetilde{\Pi}) v\|_{L} \leq c\|v\|_{K} & \forall v \in S
\end{aligned}
$$

Then the modified smoother $\widehat{L}:=L+(I-\widetilde{\Pi})^{T} K(I-\widetilde{\Pi})$ satisfies the assumptions of Lemma 1 robustly.

A slight generalization allows us the construction of a robust smoother for the 1 D case in the form

$$
\widehat{L}_{\ell}:=h_{\ell}^{-2} M_{\ell}+\widetilde{K}_{\ell}:=h_{\ell}^{-2} M_{\ell}+\left(I-\Pi_{\ell}^{I}\right)^{T} K\left(I-\widetilde{\Pi}_{\ell}^{I}\right),
$$

where $\Pi_{\ell}^{I}$ is now a suitably chosen orthogonal projector onto the space of "inner" splines, that is, discarding the $p$ left- and right-most B -spline basis functions. The term $\widetilde{K}_{\ell}$ is then nothing but a Schur complement. In 2 D , the smoother

$$
h_{\ell}^{2} \widehat{L}_{\ell} \otimes \widehat{L}_{\ell}-h_{\ell}^{2} \widetilde{K}_{\ell} \otimes \widetilde{K}_{\ell}
$$

can be shown to be robust by relying on the 1 D results. The second term is a low-rank correction and the smoother can be efficiently realized by means of the Sherman-Morrison-Woodbury formula.

## 4 Experimental results

As a numerical example, we solve the Poisson equation

$$
-\Delta u=f \quad \text { in } \Omega, \quad u=g \quad \text { on } \partial \Omega
$$

on the domain $\Omega=(0,1)^{d}$, $d=1,2$, where the right-hand side and boundary conditions are chosen in accordance with the exact solution $u(\mathbf{x})=\prod_{j=1}^{d} \sin \left(\pi x_{j}\right)$.

We perform a (tensor product) B-spline discretization using uniformly sized knot spans and maximum-continuity splines for varying spline degrees $p$. We start from a coarse discretization with only a single interval and perform $\ell$ uniform, dyadic refinement steps to obtain a finer discretization.

We then set up a two-grid method as previously described with the proposed smoothers and one pre- and post-smoothing steps, respectively.

We perform two-grid iteration until the Euclidean norm of the initial residual is reduced by a factor of $10^{-8}$. The iteration numbers using different spline degrees $p$ as well as different refinement levels $\ell$ for the one-dimensional domain are given in Table 1, and those for the two-dimensional domain in Table 2 As predicted by the theory, the iteration numbers remain uniformly bounded with respect to the spline degree $p$ as well as the refinement level.

| $p$ | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 6 | 8 | 10 | 12 | 14 | 16 | 18 | 20 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\ell=10$ | 22 | 20 | 20 | 21 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 18 | 18 | 17 | 17 |
| $\ell=11$ | 23 | 20 | 20 | 21 | 20 | 20 | 19 | 19 | 18 | 19 | 18 | 17 |
| $\ell=12$ | 23 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 19 | 18 | 18 | 18 | 18 |

Table 1: Two-grid iteration numbers in 1D.

| $p$ | 2 | 3 | 4 | 6 | 8 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\ell=5$ | 82 | 80 | 75 | 76 | 72 | 70 | 71 | 70 | 68 | 69 | 69 | 66 |
| $\ell=6$ | 83 | 87 | 76 | 75 | 72 | 70 | 70 | 69 | 68 | 68 | 67 | 65 |

Table 2: Two-grid iteration numbers in 2D.
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